

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/827,093	04/19/2004	Nicholas L. DiSalvo	1415CIP3CON3	1889		
7590 09/17/2004		EXAMINER				
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP			DONOVAN,	DONOVAN, LINCOLN D		
885 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
100 101K, 1VI 10022			2832	•		
			DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No	Applicant(s)	<del>-(1)(</del>			
Office Action Summary		10/827,09		DISALVO ET AL.				
Office Act	ion Gammary	Examiner		Art Unit				
The MAILING	DATE of this communication	Lincoln Do		2832	lelva a a			
Period for Reply	JATE OF UNS COMMUNICATION	i appears on the	cover sneet with the t	correspondence ad	uress			
THE MAILING DATE  - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from  - If the period for reply specification of the period for reply is specification.  - Failure to reply within the second	TUTORY PERIOD FOR RE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO available under the provisions of 37 CF the mailing date of this communication ed above is less than thirty (30) days, a cified above, the maximum statutory pe to rextended period for reply will, by s ffice later than three months after the ment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no even. a reply within the stateriod will apply and wattatute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tilutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timel the mailing date of this of				
Status	•							
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on _							
2a) ☐ This action is F	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	—— This action is n	on-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)		ndrawn from co						
Application Papers								
9) The specification	n is objected to by the Exan	miner.						
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	wing sheet(s) including the co aration is objected to by the				, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cite	•		4) Interview Summary					
_	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB ——-	•	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		)-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-21, drawn to a ground fault circuit interrupter reset device,

classified in class 335, subclass 18.

II. Claim 22, drawn to a ground fault circuit interrupter circuit, classified in

class 361, subclass 42.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together

in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are

shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such

as a reset configuration used in a GFCI not using the specific circuitry of II. See MPEP

§ 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have

acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject

matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species of the claimed invention:

Embodiment 1:

figures 1-12; and

Embodiment 2:

figures 13-20.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lincoln Donovan whose telephone number is 571-272-1988. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ldd